

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the remarks and analysis contained in the Office Action. Claim amendments are made above to clarify the claims. A new claim 6 is added.

Applicant has addressed the objection to the specification by the amendment above. That objection can be withdrawn.

With regard to the objections to the claims based upon the use of "ARQ" and "IR," Applicant has clarified the claims in that regard so that the objection can be withdrawn.

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101. Claim 1 recites that the transmitting is "from a transmitter" and, therefore, satisfies the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §101. The rejection can be withdrawn.

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, because the Examiner believed that there needed to be some response to the type of interrupt signal for the transmitting. Claim 1 as amended recites that the transmitting is responsive to a type of the interrupt signal. Therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 can be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully submits that this case is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

By: 

David J. Gaskey, Reg. No. 37,139
400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350
Birmingham, MI 48009
(248) 988-8360

Dated: October 14, 2008